

Bill Johnston

From: <vhd312@comcast.net>
To: "Cange, Susan M." <CangeSM@oro.doe.gov>; "Bill Johnston" <bill.johnston22@comcast.net>
Cc: "Ellen Smith" <smithellen@comcast.net>; "lorene sigal" <lagis@comcast.net>; "Frank Hensley" <fwhensley@aol.com>; "Tim Myrick" <myrickte@comcast.net>; "Hartman, Gary S" <HartmanGS@oro.doe.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 21, 2008 3:17 AM
Subject: RE: Status of ED-3 Ecological Evaluation

Dear Susan,

Thank you for clarifying your email.

AFORR remains concerned about the continuing process of piecemeal decision making on the Oak Ridge Reservation.

--

Regards,
 Virginia

Virginia Dale
 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

----- Original message -----

From: "Cange, Susan M." <CangeSM@oro.doe.gov>

Hi Virginia,

I am not disputing that the issue of piecemeal decisions was raised during our meeting. My only point in the message below is that we are not performing the Ecological Evaluation to address that concern; it is being performed to help establish an ecological baseline of the property. I hope this helps to clarify my comments below.

Susan Cange
Reindustrialization Team Leader

Phone: 865-576-0334

Cell: 865-806-6342

Fax: 865-241-3314

From: Virginia Dale [vhd312@comcast.net] [mailto:vhd312@comcast.net]

Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 1:11 PM

To: Cange, Susan M.; Bill Johnston

Cc: Ellen Smith; lorene sigal; Frank Hensley; Tim Myrick; Hartman, Gary S

Subject: RE: Status of ED-3 Ecological Evaluation

Dear Sue,

When Loren Sigal, Ellen Smith and I meet with you about this land, we certainly did bring up AFORR's ongoing concern about piecemeal decisions being made in regard to the land. As Bill Johnston states in his letter below, AFORR has been consistent about this concern for many years.

--

Regards,

Virginia

Virginia Dale
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Cange, Susan M.
> Sent: Monday, April 14, 2008 9:50 AM
> To: 'Bill Johnston'
> Cc: Frank Hensley; Virginia Dale; Tim Myrick; Hartman, Gary S
> Subject: RE: Status of ED-3 Ecological Evaluation

> Mr. Johnson,
> Sorry for the delay in responding to your message but I had some trouble
> getting the answer to your question regarding how to access the 10-Year
> Site Plan. The Plan is available on the ORNL internal web at:
> <http://home.ornl.gov/general/orrmp/index.shtm>

> Also, with respect to you comments regarding the Ecological Evaluation
> that is currently being performed on Land Parcel ED-3, the purpose is to
> have an independent party evaluate the "ecological value" of the
> property with the intent of adding the information to the EA that is
> currently under development. Our decision to perform the evaluation was
> made after meeting with representatives of AFORR where a concern was
> expressed that the property may have some inherent ecological value that
> would not make it a good candidate for development. The purpose of the
> evaluation is not intended to address the concern that you state in your
> message below.

> Susan Cange
> Reindustrialization Team Leader
> Phone: 865-576-0334
> Cell: 865-806-6342
> Fax: 865-241-3314

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Bill Johnston [mailto:bill.johnston22@comcast.net]
> Sent: Thursday, April 03, 2008 12:06 PM
> To: Cange, Susan M.
> Cc: Frank Hensley; Virginia Dale; Tim Myrick
> Subject: Status of ED-3 Ecological Evaluation

> April 3, 2008

> Susan Cange

> & gt; Reindustrialization Team Leader

>
> Department of Energy
>
> Oak Ridge Operations
>

>
>
>
> Via email
>
>
>

> Dear Ms. Cange:
>
>
>

> I am writing for Advocates for the Oak Ridge Reservation (AFORR) in
> reference to your March 10, 2008 email to Virginia Dale and Tim Myrick
> concerning Status of ED-3 Ecological Evaluation. Thank you for keeping
> us
> apprised of progress in the proposed ED-3 property transfer.
>
>
>

> The ecological evaluation of ED-3 does not satisfy AFORR's expectation
> that
> DOE conduct a comprehensive land use evaluation for the entire DOE Oak
> Ridge
> Reservation with stakeholder involvement, as required in DOE O 430.1B
> 4.b.(3) and NEPA. Our concern with the economic development land
> transfer
> process undertaken by DOE at the Oak Ridge Reservation is the piecemeal
> approach employed, specifically the absence of a comprehensive
> environmental
> impact statement that considers past and reasonably anticipated future
> economic development actions and other land-use actions. Our position
> has
> been consistently stated (please reference letters dated January 17,
> 2001,
> February 5, 2001, February 5, 2003, November 7, 2004).
>
>
>

> On a related topic, I have attempted without success to locate on the
> DOE
> ORO web site a copy of the current Ten Year Site Plan. Could you
> provide a
> link with which I can access that document?
>
>
>

> If you would like to discuss these issues further, you may contact me,

> or
> the other AFORR representatives with whom you normally correspond.

>

>

>

> Respectfully,

>

>

>

> Bill Johnston, AFORR Board Member

>

> 865-386-1365

>